

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SNIDER PROPERTY 2, LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CHRISTINA KING, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. CV 18-6057 DMG (SSx)

**ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING  
IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION**

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because Defendants removed it improperly.

On July 12, 2018, Defendant Christina King, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, filed a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has denied the IFP application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, this action could not have been originally filed in federal court because the complaint does not competently allege facts supporting either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563 (2005).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Central Division, 330 West Broadway, Room 225, San Diego, California 92101, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 18, 2018

Dolly M. GEE  
DOLLY M. GEE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE